



## Jets at high energies

#### Krzysztof Kutak



Supported by grant: LIDER/02/35/L-2/10/NCBiR/2011

## LHC as a scanner of gluon



#### QCD at high energies – high energy factorization



Originally derived for heavy quarks in final state. Therefore no problem of division into density and ME Gluons more tricky. Possible double counting

Some trials to generalized to p-A Dominguez, Huan, Marquet, Xiao '10

New helicitybased metods for ME van Hameren, Kotko,KK '12

Does not take into account MPI as formulated in DGLAP i.e. emissions from independent chains

Gribov, Levin, Ryskin '81 Ciafaloni, Catani, Hautman '93

#### BCFW for offshell gluons

An n-gluon amplitude is a function of momenta  $k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n$  and directions  $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n$ , satisfying the conditions

$$\begin{split} k_1^{\mu} + k_2^{\mu} + \cdots + k_n^{\mu} &= 0 \\ p_1^2 &= p_2^2 = \cdots = p_n^2 = 0 \\ p_1 \cdot k_1 &= p_2 \cdot k_2 = \cdots = p_n \cdot k_n = 0 \end{split}$$

For each  $k^{\mu}$ ,  $k_{T}^{\mu}$  is not unique, and may be defined using an auxiliary light-like  $q^{\mu}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} k_{T}^{\mu}(q) &= k^{\mu} - \frac{q \cdot k}{q \cdot p} p^{\mu} \\ &= -\frac{\kappa}{2} \frac{\langle p | \gamma^{\mu} | q]}{[pq]} - \frac{\kappa^{*}}{2} \frac{\langle q | \gamma^{\mu} | p]}{\langle q p \rangle} \\ \kappa &= \frac{\langle q | k | p]}{\langle q p \rangle} \quad , \quad \kappa^{*} = \frac{\langle p | k | q]}{[pq]} \end{aligned}$$

 $k^2 = -\kappa \kappa^*$  is independent of  $q^{\mu}$ , but also individually  $\kappa$  and  $\kappa^*$  are independent of  $q^{\mu}$ . Shifting the  $k_T$  of pairs of gluons into complex space, the BCFW recursion formula for amplitudes with off-shell gluons can be derived, in which all building blocks are well-defined amplitudes with less (off-shell) legs.

$$2 = \bigcap_{1 \\ n}^{i} n - 1 = \sum_{i=2}^{n-2} \sum_{h=+,}^{i} A_{i,h} + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} B_i + C + D,$$

$$A_{i,h} = : \bigcap_{1}^{i} \frac{h}{K_{1,i}^2} \xrightarrow{i+1} \bigcap_{\hat{n}}^{i+1} : C = \frac{1}{\kappa_1} \quad 2 = \bigcap_{1}^{i} n - 1$$

$$B_i = : \bigcap_{\hat{1}}^{i-1} \frac{i}{2p_i \cdot K_{i,n}} \xrightarrow{\hat{n}}^{i+1} D = \frac{1}{\kappa_n^*} \quad 2 = \bigcap_{\hat{1}}^{i} n - 1$$

With these one can easily derive compact expressions, e.g. the MHV formula for  $A \equiv A(1^*, i^*, (\text{the rest})^+)$ 

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{\kappa_1^* \kappa_i^*} \frac{\langle p_1 p_i \rangle^4}{\langle p_1 p_2 \rangle \langle p_2 p_3 \rangle \cdots \langle p_{n-2} p_{n-1} \rangle \langle p_{n-1} p_n \rangle \langle p_n p_1 \rangle}$$

#### From A. van Hameren

## Off-shell amplitudes and Wilson lines

Off-shell gauge invariant amplitude  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{e_1...e_n}(k_1,\ldots,k_n;X)$  for

 $g^*(k_1, e_1) \dots g^*(k_n, e_n) \rightarrow X$ 

where  $k_i$ ,  $e_i$  are momentum and "polarization" vector of an off-shell gluon can be defined as [P. Kotko arXiv:1403.4824]

$$\begin{array}{l} \langle 0 \mid \mathfrak{R}_{e_{1}}^{c_{1}}\left(k_{1}\right) \ldots \mathfrak{R}_{e_{n}}^{c_{n}}\left(k_{n}\right) \left|X\right\rangle \stackrel{*}{=} \delta\left(k_{1} \cdot e_{1}\right) \ldots \delta\left(k_{n} \cdot e_{n}\right) \\ \delta^{4}\left(k_{1} + \ldots + k_{n} - X\right) \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{e_{1} \ldots e_{n}}\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}; X\right) \end{array}$$

where (almost-)infinite (almost-)straight Wilson lines are

$$\Re_{e_{i}}^{c_{i}}\left(k_{i}\right)=\int d^{4}y \, e^{iy \cdot k_{i}} \, \operatorname{Tr}\left\{\frac{1}{\pi g} \, t^{c_{i}} \, \mathcal{P} \exp\left[ig \, \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds \, \frac{dz_{\mu}\left(s\right)}{ds} \, A_{b}^{\mu}\left(z\right) t^{b}\right]\right\}$$

where  $t^a$  are color generators and the path is parametrized as

$$z^{\mu}\left(s
ight)=y^{\mu}+rac{2}{\epsilon} anh\left(rac{\epsilon s}{2}
ight)e^{\mu}_{X}, \hspace{1em}s\in\left(-\infty,\infty
ight)$$

In the matrix element definition the limit  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  is taken and only connected contributions are retained.

\* Used in FORM program OGIME = Off-shell Gauge Invariant Matrix Elements

From P. Kotko

#### The BFKL evolution

Balitsky, Fadin, Kuraev, Lipatov '77



6

#### High energy factorization and saturation



#### The BFKL and BK evolutions - solutions



В

#### BFKL with subleading corrections Kwiecinski, Martin, Staśto prescription

Nonsingular pieces of splitting function

Kinematical effects i.e. Momentum of gluon dominated by it's transversal component

Running coupling

*In principle not applicable to final states since no hard scale dependence* 

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{F}_{p}(x,k^{2}) &= \mathcal{F}_{p}^{(0)}(x,k^{2}) \\ &+ \frac{\alpha_{s}(k^{2})N_{c}}{\pi} \int_{x}^{1} \frac{dz}{z} \int_{k_{0}^{2}}^{\infty} \frac{dl^{2}}{l^{2}} \left\{ \frac{l^{2}\mathcal{F}_{p}(\frac{x}{z},l^{2}) \,\theta(\frac{k^{2}}{z}-l^{2}) - k^{2}\mathcal{F}_{p}(\frac{x}{z},k^{2})}{|l^{2}-k^{2}|} + \frac{k^{2}\mathcal{F}_{p}(\frac{x}{z},k^{2})}{|4l^{4}+k^{4}|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right\} \\ &+ \frac{\alpha_{s}(k^{2})}{2\pi k^{2}} \int_{x}^{1} dz \left( P_{gg}(z) - \frac{2N_{c}}{z} \right) \int_{k_{0}^{2}}^{k^{2}} dl^{2} \mathcal{F}_{p}(\frac{x}{z},l^{2}) \end{split}$$



#### Unintegrated gluon density from BK with corrections



#### Glue in p vs. glue in Pb



Nonlinear equation for unintegrated gluon density.

Related to BK via Fourier transform

Includes corrections of higher order Kwiecincki, KK 2002

Fitted to latest HERA data Sapeta, KK 2011

#### BFKL applied to DIS - some recent results



Sapeta, KK '12



FromBK equation with corrections of higher order

#### Tools to be used

General tool for matrix elements within HEF based on spinor helicity method (A. van Hameren)
Gauge link based tool to evaluate matrix elements (OGIME P. Kotko)
Monte Carlo for production of dijets, trijets within HEF with Sudakov effect LxJet (P. Kotko)
Tool for forward dijets Forward (S. Sapeta)

# Central-forward di-jets



#### High energy prescription and forward-central di-jets

Deak, Jung, Hautmann Kutak JHEP 0909:121,2009

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dy_1 dy_2 dp_{1t} dp_{2t} d\Delta\phi} = \sum_{a,c,d} \frac{p_{t1} p_{t2}}{8\pi^2 (x_1 x_2 S)^2} |\mathcal{M}_{ag \to cd}|^2 x_1 f_{a/A}(x_1,\mu^2) \,\mathcal{F}_{g/B}(x_2,k^2) \frac{1}{1+\delta_{cd}}$$

 $S = 2P_1 \cdot P_2$ 

1



#### Resummation of logs of x and logs of hard scale

Knowing well parton densities at largr x one can get information about low x physics

 Framework goes recently under name "hybride framework"

## Di-jets pt spectra

10<sup>5</sup>

10<sup>4</sup>

d<sup>2</sup> g/dp<sub>f</sub>dh<sub>f</sub> [pb/GeV] 01 02 02

10

1

40

 $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ 

pt > 35 GeV

central: |n| < 2.8

60

forward:  $3.2 < |\eta| < 4.7$ 

80











linear ZZZ

FORWARD

120

140

non-linear

100

forward pt [GeV]

data CMS

During evolution time incoming gluon becomes off-shell

Crucial effect of higher order corrections

#### Final states via Sudakov effects - illustration



Mueller, Xiao, Huan ;13

#### Things potentially to be added in the future



Missing MPI contributions

#### Decorelations inclusive scenario

2

2.5

З



A.v.Hameren, P.Kotko, KK, S.Sapeta '14

pt1,pt2 >35, leading jets |*y*1|<2.8, 3.2<|*y*2|<4.7 No further requirement on jets



Observable suggested to study BFKL effects Sabio-Vera, Schwensen '06

Studied also context of RHIC Albacete, Marquet '10

#### Decorelations inside jet tag scenario

1e+08 1e+08 KS linear + Sudakov KS linear KS nonlinear + Sudakov KS nonlinear CMS PAS FSQ-12-008 -----CMS PAS FSQ-12-008 ------1e+07 1e+07  $\sqrt{S} = 7.0 \text{ TeV}$ √S = 7.0 TeV p<sub>11</sub>,p<sub>12</sub>>35 GeV, p<sub>13</sub>>20 GeV p.1,p.2>35 GeV, p.2>20 GeV |y1| <2.8, 3.2<|y2| <4.9, y1>y3>y2  $|y_1| < 2.8, 3.2 < |y_2| < 4.9, y_1 > y_3 > y_2$ [dq] φ∆\ob 1e+06 1e+06 100000 100000 10000 10000 1000 1000 1.5 2 2.5 3 0 0.5 0.5 1.5 2 2.5 3 1 0 1 Δφ ΔΦ 1e+08 1e+08 DGLAP KMR CMS PAS FSQ-12-008 -----1e+07 √S = 7.0 TeV √S = 7.0 TeV 1e+07 pc1,pc2>35 GeV, pc3>20 GeV p<sub>11</sub>,p<sub>12</sub>>35 GeV, p<sub>13</sub>>20 GeV |y<sub>1</sub>| <2.8, 3.2<y<sub>2</sub><4.9, y<sub>1</sub>>y<sub>3</sub>>y<sub>2</sub> |y<sub>1</sub>| <2.8, 3.2<|y<sub>2</sub>|<4.9, y<sub>1</sub>>y<sub>3</sub>>y<sub>2</sub> 1e+06 [dq] φΔ/ob 1e+06 **∔** + Sudakov effects by reweighting 100000 100000 implemented in LxJet Monte Carlo P. Kotko 10000 10000 1000 1000 0.5 1.5 2 2.5 0 1 3 0 0.5 1 1.5 2 2.5 3 ΔΦ Δφ

[dq] @∆\ob

[dq] φ∆\ob

A.v.Hameren, P.Kotko, KK, S.Sapeta '14

pt1, pt2 >35 GeV, leading jets |y1|<2.8, 3.2<|y2|<4.7 Third jet pt>20GeV. Between the forward and central region

 $\Delta \phi$ 

 $\pi$ 



#### Predictions for p-Pb

A.v.Hameren, P.Kotko, KK, S.Sapeta '14



•Sudakov enhance saturation effects

•Hawever, satuartion effects are rather weak

# Forward-forward di-jets



#### Results for decorelations

KS rcBK KS no 10000 KS lines 100.00  $\sqrt{S} = 7.0 \text{ TeV}$ p<sub>11</sub>>p<sub>22</sub>>20 GeV √S = 7.0 TeV 1000 3.2<y1,y2<4.9 pm>pm>5 GeV  $10\,00$ -6.6<y1,y2<-5.2 da/∆ø [nb] 10010010101 Results obtained 1.5 $\mathbf{Z}$ 2.5 3 1 0.51.52.5 $\mathbf{Z}$ 3 1 0. Δø  $\Delta \phi$ with gluons coming from rcBK and BK with corrections of

Van Hameren, Kotko, KK ,Marquet, Sapeta' 13

Importance of corrections of higher orders

higher orders

[dn] ¢∆/pb]

#### Forward-forward di-jets

A. van Hameren:,KK, Kotko,Marquet, Sapeta '14



Studies of subleading jet gives more pronounced signal of suppression. Details of that are still to be understood

# Forward tri-jets



#### HEF applied to three jets

Van Hameren, Kotko, KK ,13



p-p and p-Pb collisions CM energy 5 TeV and 7 TeV  $p_{T1} > p_{T2} > p_{T3} > p_{Tcut}$ 

$$d\sigma_{AB\to X} = \int \frac{d^2 k_{TA}}{\pi} \int \frac{dx_A}{x_A} \int dx_B \sum_b \mathcal{F}_{g^*/A} (x_A, k_{TA}) f_{b/B} (x_B) d\hat{\sigma}_{g^*b\to X} (x_A, x_B, k_{TA})$$

$$x_A = \sum_i \frac{|\vec{p}_{Ti}|}{\sqrt{S}} e^{\eta_i}, \quad x_B = \sum_i \frac{|\vec{p}_{Ti}|}{\sqrt{S}} e^{-\eta_i}$$

$$\eta_{f0} \leq \eta_i \leq \eta_{f1} \qquad |\eta_j| \leq \eta_c$$

$$central \qquad forward$$

$$|\vec{p}_{Ti}| > p_{Tcut}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

1 http://annapurna.ifj.edu.pl/~pkotko/LxJet.html

#### Central-central-forward configuration

#### Van Hameren, Kotko, KK ,13



two leading jets are in the central region with  $|\eta_{1,2}| < 2.8$ the softest jet is in the forward region  $3.2 < \eta_3 < 4.7$  $p_{T_{cut}} = 35 \,\text{GeV}$ we may restrict additional cuts on the central jets, e.g.  $|\vec{p}_{T\,1} + \vec{p}_{T\,2}| < D_{\text{cut}}$ 





$$x_{as} = \frac{|x_A - x_B|}{x_A + x_B}$$

Many symmetric events

#### Central-central-forward configuration

Van Hameren, Kotko, KK ,13



No noticeable saturation effects

#### Forward-forward-forward configuration



Van Hameren, Kotko, KK ,13



#### Forward-forward-forward configuration

Van Hameren, Kotko, KK ,13



Saturation effects show up

#### Things eventually to be added in the future



#### Conclusions and outlook

•Achieved very good description of forward-central jet measurement

•Predictions for pPb are robust

• Evidence for low x dynamics

•Open questions – description of the decorelations within CCFM and KGBJS. It includes Sudakov, and low x dynamics.